

# Identifying Learning Styles in Learning Management Systems by Using Indications from Students' Behaviour

**Sabine Graf**  
National Central University  
Taiwan  
[sabine.graf@ieee.org](mailto:sabine.graf@ieee.org)

**Kinshuk**  
Athabasca University  
Canada  
[kinshuk@ieee.org](mailto:kinshuk@ieee.org)

**Tzu-Chien Liu**  
National Central University  
Taiwan  
[lrc@cc.ncu.edu.tw](mailto:lrc@cc.ncu.edu.tw)




# Why shall we use learning styles?

- Learners have different learning styles
- Considering learning styles has potential to make learning easier for learners
  - By making students aware of their learning styles as well as the related strengths and weaknesses
  - By providing students with learning material that fits their learning styles



# How to identify learning styles?



- Advantages of Automatic Student Modelling
  - *no additional work for students*
  - *direct and free from the problem of inaccurate self-conceptions of students*
  - *analyses data from a specific time span → more accurate & allows tracking changes in learning styles*



# Research Question

How to automatically identify learning styles in learning management systems (LMS)?

- General aims
  - Selected LMSs because they are commonly used in technology enhanced learning
  - Developing an approach for LMSs in general
  - Implementing and evaluating this approach in Moodle




# Felder-Silverman Learning Style Model

- Each learner has a preference on each of the four dimensions:
  - Active – Reflective  
learning by doing – learning by thinking things through  
group work – work alone
  - Sensing – Intuitive  
concrete material – abstract material  
more practical – more innovative and creative  
patient / not patient with details  
standard procedures – challenges
  - Visual – Verbal  
learning from pictures – learning from words
  - Sequential – Global  
learn in linear steps – learn in large leaps  
good in using partial knowledge – need „big picture“



# Felder-Silverman Learning Style Model

- Scales of the dimensions:



- Differences to other learning style models:
  - describes learning style in more detail
  - represents also balanced preferences
  - describes tendencies




# Index of Learning Styles (ILS)

- Developed by Felder and Soloman to identify learning styles
- 44 questions
- 11 questions for each dimension
- Each question allows two possible answers indicating a preference for either the one or the other pole of the learning style dimension; e.g. active (+1) or reflective (-1)
- Result: a value between +11 and -11 for each dimension



# Determining Relevant Behaviour

- Felder and Silverman describe how learners with specific preferences act in learning situations
- Mapped the behaviour to online learning
- Only commonly used features are considered:
  - Content objects
  - Outlines
  - Examples
  - Self-assessment tests
  - Exercises
  - Discussion Forum



# Determining Relevant Behaviour

- Content objects
  - Visits, time
- Outlines
  - Visits, time
- Examples
  - Visits, time
- Self-assessment tests
  - Visits, time on test, time on results
  - Revisions, answering a question twice wrong
  - Performance on questions about facts or concepts, details or overview, graphics or text, interpreting or developing solutions
- Exercises
  - Visits, time on exercises, time on results
  - Revisions
  - Performance on questions about interpreting and developing solutions
- Discussion Forum
  - Visits, time, postings
- Navigation
  - Skipping learning objects
  - Visits and time on course overview page




# Determining Relevant Behaviour


Active/Reflective	Sensing/Intuitive	Visual/Verbal	Sequential/Global
selfass_visit (+)	ques_detail (+)	forum_visit (-)	ques_detail (+)
exercise_visit (+)	ques_facts (+)	forum_stay (-)	ques_overview (-)
exercise_stay (+)	ques_concepts (-)	forum_post (-)	ques_interpret (-)
example_stay (-)	selfass_visit (+)	ques_graphics (+)	ques_develop (-)
content_visit (-)	selfass_result_duration (+)	ques_text (-)	outline_visit (-)
content_stay (-)	selfass_duration (+)	content_visit (-)	outline_stay (-)
outline_stay (-)	exercise_visit (+)		navigation_skip (-)
selfass_duration (-)	ques_rev_later (+)		overview_visit (-)
selfass_result_duration (-)	ques_develop (-)		overview_stay (-)
selfass_twice_wrong (+)	example_visit (+)		
forum_visit (-)	example_stay (+)		
forum_post (+)	content_visit (-)		
	content_stay (-)		



# From Behaviour to Learning Styles




# From Behaviour to Learning Styles




- Based on the previously introduced patterns
- Data are extracted from the LMS database

# From Behaviour to Learning Styles



- Four values are possible:
  - 3 ... the respective behaviour occurs often
  - 2 ... the respective behaviour is average
  - 1 ... the respective behaviour occurs only few times
  - 0 ... no information about the respective behaviour is available
- Values are calculated based on thresholds, which are derived from literature and can be adapted to the characteristics of the respective course


# From Behaviour to Learning Styles



- Patterns provide indications about learning styles
- Four values are possible:
  - 3 ... the student's behaviour gives a strong indication for the respective learning style
  - 2 ... the student's behaviour is average and therefore does not provide a specific hint
  - 1 ... the student's behaviour is in disagreement with the respective learning style
  - 0 ... no information about student's behaviour is available



# From Behaviour to Learning Styles



- All indication values are summed up and divided by the number of patterns where information was available
- The results were normalised on a range from 0 to 1
  - 0 ... the student has a strong negative preference for this learning style
  - 1 ... the student has a strong positive preference for this learning style
- If no indications are available, no conclusions about the student's learning style can be drawn

# Evaluation

- University course about object oriented modelling
- 127 students participated
- All types of learning objects described before were included
- Moodle was used and extended by few tracking functions



# Evaluation

- Students filled out the ILS questionnaire and learned in the online course
- Results of ILS were compared with the results of our approach based on a 3-item scale (distinguishing e.g. between an active, balanced, and reflective learning style)
  - Automatic Approach:
    - Calculated measures based on indications → values between 0 and 1
    - Divided results in three groups (using thresholds of 0.25 and 0.75)
  - ILS:
    - Results of ILS were normalised → values between 0 and 1
    - Values between 0 and 1 were grouped into three groups (using thresholds of 0.25 and 0.75)



# Evaluation

$\text{Sim}(LS_{\text{predicted}}, LS_{\text{ILS}}) = 1 \dots$  if both are equal  
 $0.5 \dots$  if one is balanced  
 $0 \dots$  if both are opposite

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Sim}(LS_{\text{predicted}}, LS_{\text{ILS}})}{n} \cdot 100$$



# Results

act/ref	sen/int	vis/ver	seq/glo
79%	77%	77%	73%

→ showing that our approach is a suitable instrument for automatically identifying learning styles



# Conclusions and Future Work

- Developed an approach for automatically identifying learning styles
- Approach is developed for LMSs in general

## Future Work

- **Dynamic Automatic Student Modelling**  
Allowing modifying and updating the student model on the fly and therefore to response immediately
- **Tool**  
Continuing to develop a tool that allows teachers to easily integrate our approach in their courses

